

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE .	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/092,871	03/07/2002	Martin Kreuzer	TRW(ASG)6058	9986
26294	7590 10/13/2005	EXAMINER		
TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P.			RODRIGUEZ, PAMELA	
526 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SUITE 1111 CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3683	
			DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Br	ief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/092,871	KREUZER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Pam Rodriguez	3683	

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Pam Rodriguez	3683					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 03 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO							
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for							
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		rill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,4,6,12,13 and 15. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
7. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	Pam Rodriguez Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3683					
		10/11/05					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 102(a) and 103(a) rejections of Claims 9-11, 16, and 18-21 using the DE 20105733 reference.

Continuation of 13. Other: The examiner maintains her rejections for Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 12, 13, and 15 for the reasons previously set forth in the final rejection. In particular, the RD '099 document discloses vehicle speed and rate and degree of turn sensors and these parameters all effect the vibration of the steering wheel and are used to control the overall damping of the steering wheel. So when taken in this context, these sensors do sense a vibration of the steering wheel, i.e., they sense parameters which initiate the vibration of the steering wheel itself. And regarding applicant's arguments regarding the Yamada and RD '099 references not teaching the claimed control unit regulating damping such that vibrations of different frequencies can be damped, the examiner directs applicant's attention to the last line of the abstract of the Yamada reference. Here it appears the reference discloses that the vibration of the steering wheel can be controlled during the entire running of the vehicle, during which time multiple vibration frequencies would occur. Contrary to applicant's remarks, the examiner does not see where the Yamada reference teaches that the device is specifically set to one damping frequency..